APR 0 2 2003

AR/2879

AMENI) DMENT I	[RANSM]	TTAL L	ETTER	Docket No. M4065.0134/P134-A
Application	n No	Filing (Date	Examiner	Art Unit
09/779,508-Conf. #3671		February 9, 2001		Bao Q. Truor	
pplicant(s): Yon					
vention: APPAR		ETHOD FOR I	FORMING CO	OLD-CATHODE FIE	LD EMISSION
Fransmitted here		THE COMMI			
The fee has been					
		CLAIM	S AS AMENI	DED	
	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid	Number Extra Claims Present	Rate	
Total Claims	17	- 20 =		X	0.00
Independent Claims	2	- 3 =		X	0.00
Multiple Depend	lent Claims (ch	eck if applicabl	e)		
Other fee (pleas					
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT:					0.00
A duplicate o	ge Deposit Acc	ount Noeet is enclosed	ir	Small Entity the amount of \$	
A check in th	ne amount of \$		to cover	the filing fee is encl	osed.
	credit card. Fo				24.42
as described	l below. A dup	licate copy of		credit Deposit Accentication	ount No. <u>04-1073</u>
	ny overpaymer any additional fili		n processing t	ees required under 3	7 CFR 1.16 and 1.17.
Hun	4 Joseph			Dated:	April 2, 2003
Stephen A. Soft Attorney Reg. N					
DICKSTEIN SH 2101 L Street N		N & OSHINSK	Y LLP		T _C
Washington, D0 (202) 828-2232					281
, ,					APR-7 2003 2800 MAIL ROO
					YED 2003 L ROOI



Docket No.: M4065.0134/P134-A (PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Yongjun J. Hu

Application No.: 09/779,508

Filed: February 9, 2001

For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR

FORMING COLD-CATHODE FIELD

EMISSION DISPLAYS

Group Art Unit: 2879

Examiner: Bao Q. Truong

RECEIVED
RECEIVED
RECEIVED
RAIL ROU

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.116

Box AF Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

The following is in response to the Office Action dated January 2, 2003 (Paper No. 7), finally rejecting claims 31, 33-39, and 41-49:

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 31, 33-39, and 41-49 are presently pending in this application. Claim 31 and 34-38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by *Lee* (US 5,401,676). Claim 39 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by *Kumar* (US 5,763,997). Claims 32 and 33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Lee* (US 5,401,676). Claims 40-49 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kumar* (US 5,763,997). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections.

Regarding independent claims 31 and 39, the applicant reiterates that neither Lee nor Kumar recite an "emitting layer over each . . . tip comprised of a metal